

Executive Committee Teleconference (44 Ex Comm. – 17 April 2013)
(Draft) Minutes

Attended:	Apologies:	Secretariat
<p>Amy Bloom (Interim Chair) Blessi Kumar (Vice-Chair of Board) Cheri Vincent Nathalie Garon Michael Kimerling Mario Raviglione Nevin Wilson</p> <p>Naina Dhingra (McKinsey)</p>		<p>Lucica Ditiu Young-Ae Chu</p> <p>Suvanand Sahu (TB REACH) Jacob Creswell (TB REACH)</p>
Minutes of Discussion	Decision	Action
1. Status of paper on engagement with the Global Fund		
<p>The Executive Secretary gave an update on the drafting of a paper on the Partnership’s engagement with the Global Fund. The draft, which will take into account the New Funding Model, the Global Fund Fourth Replenishment as well as funding pipeline for countries, and will include concrete next steps, will be circulated for comments to the EC in the next ten days.</p>		
<p>The Executive Committee noted the update by the Executive Secretary.</p> <p>The Executive Committee discussed the 2 billion USD in the pipeline for countries, some of which will expire soon, and whether the expired funds could be reprogrammed. The Executive Committee agreed on the need for a coordinated approach.</p>	<p>The Executive Committee decided it was important to look into the funding situation in countries, including the amount of funding and the expiration date of the grants and any bottlenecks in implementation.</p> <p>The Executive Committee agreed that strategic engagement with the Global Fund should be a coordinated and collective effort.</p>	<p>Secretariat to send draft paper on engagement with the Global Fund, for EC input, by end-April 2013.</p>
2. 23rd Coordinating Board Meeting Agenda		
<p>The Executive Secretary presented the draft agenda for the 23rd Coordinating Board meeting to be held in Ottawa, Canada from 11 to 12 July 2013. The agenda takes into account the priorities for the Board that were identified by the Executive Committee at its retreat held in Seattle, USA in March 2013.</p>		
<p>The Executive Committee agreed on the topics proposed in the agenda, but noted the need for briefings or calls on some of the topics to be fully informed prior to the Board meeting.</p>	<p>The Executive Committee agreed to hold the EC meeting on the same day of the retreat (10 July). The preferred option was to have the CB retreat first and hold the EC meeting on the evening of the 10th.</p>	<p>Secretariat and McKinsey to send out draft agenda and concept note for the Coordinating Board Retreat for discussion at the next EC call scheduled on 15 May 2013.</p>

<p>The Executive Committee also discussed the purpose of the full-day CB retreat prior to the Board. It was clarified that the purpose is to familiarize new (as well as existing) members to the Board on roles of Board members and Partnership priorities.</p>		
<p>The Executive Committee deliberated whether the meeting of the EC should be held the day before the retreat, or on the day of the retreat, as some EC members would not be able to attend if the EC meeting was held on the 9 July.</p>		
<p>3. Board Leadership Election Process</p>		
<p>The Executive Committee discussed a draft paper outlining the TORs for the Coordinating Board Chair and Vice Chair, the proposed process to elect board leadership during a normal cycle, and a modified process for selection of the next Chair and Vice Chair.</p>		
<p>The Executive Committee discussed the modified process for electing the next Chair and while acknowledging that this would be a one-time process, reiterated the need for an open, transparent process and the involvement of a full Board.</p>	<p>The Executive Committee agreed to proceed with the call for nomination for Chair of the Board.</p> <p>It was agreed that the full Board will vote for the next Chair at the July meeting.</p>	<p>Secretariat to launch call for nominations for Chair of the Coordinating Board by end April 2013.</p>
<p>The Executive Committee also deliberated on ways that a full Board could be involved in the election of the Chair without potentially putting two high-profile individuals running for the same position in an awkward or delicate situation.</p>		
<p>4. Continuation Funding Decisions for TB REACH Projects</p>		
<p>The Secretariat presented to the Executive Committee the recommendations from the TB REACH Proposal Review Committee (PRC) on continuation funding for TB REACH Wave 2 Projects. In addition, a proposal to approve a limit of 100,000 USD per project for transitional funding to cover essential services until a project (not approved for TB REACH continuation funding) could secure funding from other sources was also presented.</p>		
<p>The Executive Committee noted the recommendations from the PRC and asked for clarification on the transition funding and if the maximum amount</p>	<p>The Executive Committee approved the recommendations of the TB REACH PRC on continuation funding of Wave 2 projects as well as the proposal to limit</p>	

<p>proposed would be enough to cover the interim needs of the essential services.</p> <p>TB REACH noted the transition funding amount should cover the needs, but added that if a project needed transitional funding above the maximum limit, the Secretariat would come back to the Executive Committee for approval.</p>	<p>requested transition funding to a maximum of USD 100,000 per project.</p>	
---	--	--